

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/840,185	05/06/2004	Duane A. Gerig	IPP0107.US	7514	
41863 75	90 08/04/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
TAYLOR & AUST, P.C. 142 SOUTH MAIN STREET			ABBOTT, YVO	ABBOTT, YVONNE RENEE	
P. O. BOX 560			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
AVILLA, IN	AVILLA, IN 46710			3644	
			DATE MAILED: 08/04/2006	DATE MAILED: 08/04/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/840,185	GERIG ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Yvonne R. Abbott	3644			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONED	I.  lely filed  the mailing date of this communication.  D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
<ol> <li>Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 Ju</li> <li>This action is FINAL.</li> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E</li> </ol>	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-7 and 23-26 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-7 and 23-26 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)					
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa				

Application/Control Number: 10/840,185

Art Unit: 3644

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

### Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-7, 23-26 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1, 2, 4-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Christiansen (5,815,077). Christiansen discloses a method of modifying the behavior of an animal, comprising the steps of: increasing a stimulation level of a stimulation device attached to a collar in stimulating contact with the animal, said stimulation level associated with one of a plurality of levels, said plurality of levels including a minimum level; applying a stimulation to the animal associated with said stimulation level; determining a compliant behavior of the animal; reducing said stimulation level to one of said plurality of levels between a current stimulation level and said minimum level dependent upon said determining step: and setting said current stimulation level to said

Application/Control Number: 10/840,185

Art Unit: 3644

minimum level dependent upon a triggering event (col. 3, lines 38-56); wherein the increasing and applying step are repeated if noncompliant behavior is detected; and wherein the determining step includes receiving one of a signal that a border has been violated by the animal and a signal that the animal has barked.

Page 3

- 4. Claims 1, 2, 4-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Farkas (4,947,795). Farkas discloses a method of modifying the behavior of an animal, comprising the steps of: increasing a stimulation level of a stimulation device attached to a collar in stimulating contact with the animal, said stimulation level associated with one of a plurality of levels, said plurality of levels including a minimum level; applying a stimulation to the animal associated with said stimulation level; determining a compliant behavior of the animal; reducing said stimulation level to one of said plurality of levels between a current stimulation level and said minimum level dependent upon said determining step: and setting said current stimulation level to said minimum level dependent upon a triggering event; wherein the increasing and applying step are repeated if noncompliant behavior is detected; and wherein the determining step includes receiving one of a signal that a border has been violated by the animal and a signal that the animal has barked (see Abstract).
- 5. Claims 1, 2, 4-7 and 23-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Crist et al. (6,928,958). Crist et al. disclose a method of modifying the behavior of an animal, comprising the steps of: increasing a stimulation level of a stimulation device attached to a collar in stimulating contact with the animal, said

Art Unit: 3644

stimulation level associated with one of a plurality of levels, said plurality of levels including a minimum level; applying a stimulation to the animal associated with said stimulation level; determining a compliant behavior of the animal; reducing said stimulation level to one of said plurality of levels between a current stimulation level and said minimum level dependent upon said determining step: and setting said current stimulation level to said minimum level dependent upon a triggering event; wherein the increasing and applying step are repeated if noncompliant behavior is detected; and wherein the determining step includes receiving one of a signal that a border has been violated by the animal and a signal that the animal has barked. With respect to claims 23-26, Crist et al. disclose that when the battery is changed or recharged, or a switch activated it can trigger a powering down of the microcontroller, and a reduction in the level of stimulation applied to the animal (col. 6, lines 31-67, col. 7, lines 1-17).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Christiansen ('077). Although Christiansen discloses that there is a plurality of stimulation levels, it is not specifically disclosed that there are at least three levels. It

would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that there be three levels or to vary the number of levels depending on the nature of the animal to be trained (i.e. more or less obedient), and where routing testing and general experimental conditions are present, discovering the optimum or workable ranges until the desired effect is achieved involves only routing skill in the art.

Page 5

- 8. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Farkas ('795). Although Farkas discloses that there is a plurality of stimulation levels, it is not specifically disclosed that there are at least three levels. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that there be three levels or to vary the number of levels depending on the nature of the animal to be trained (i.e. more or less obedient), and where routing testing and general experimental conditions are present, discovering the optimum or workable ranges until the desired effect is achieved involves only routing skill in the art.
- 9. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Crist et al. ('958). Although Crist et al. disclose that there is a plurality of stimulation levels, it is not specifically disclosed that there are at least three levels. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that there be three levels or to vary the number of levels depending on the nature of the animal to be trained (i.e. more or less obedient), and where routing testing and general experimental conditions are present, discovering the optimum or workable ranges until the desired effect is achieved involves only routing skill in the art.

#### Conclusion

10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yvonne R. Abbott whose telephone number is (571) 272-6896. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 9:30am-6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Teri Luu can be reached on (571) 272-7045. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/840,185 Page 7

Art Unit: 3644

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000

Yonne R. Abbott Primary Examiner Art Unit 3644